

Latin American Journal of Solids and Structures

www.lajss.org

Load Bearing Capacity of a Dented Aluminum Pipe Subjected to Internal Pressure Considering the Effect of Ductile Damage

Abstract

In this paper, the effect of ductile damage on the behavior of a dented Aluminum pipe subjected to internal pressure is investigated. The plastic behavior of pipes under indentation is studied using continuum damage mechanics theory and the elastic-plastic finite element analysis. Finite element calculations are carried out using the damage plasticity model proposed by Xue and Wierzbicki. The proposed damage plasticity model incorporates effects of both hydrostatic stress and the Lode angle to define the fracture envelope. Numerical calculations for different ranges of internal pressures and indenter diameters with and without damage effect are carried out and results are compared. It is shown that damage has a significant effect on the load bearing capacity of an indented pipe. Results of the present study confirm the credibility of the proposed model in predicting the ductile fracture under multi-axial state of stress loadings.

Keywords

Ductile damage, Indentation, Plasticity, Finite element analyses, Load bearing capacity.

R. Akbari Alashti 1^a S. Jafari 2^b S.J. Hosseinipour 3^c

^a Mechanical Engineering Department, Babol University of Technology, P.O. Box 484, Babol, Mazandaran, Iran, raalashti@nit.ac.ir
^b Mechanical Engineering Department, Babol University of Technology, P.O. Box 484, Babol, Mazandaran, Iran, jaafari.sanaz@yahoo.com
^c Mechanical Engineering Department, Babol University of Technology, P.O. Box 484, Babol, Mazandaran, Iran, jabol, Mazandaran, Iran.
j.hosseini@nit.ac.ir

http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/1679-78251383

Received 27.05.2013 In revised form 18.07.2014 Accepted 05.09.2014 Available online 13.10.2014

1 INTRODUCTION

Pipelines are widely used for transmission of gases and liquids from production sites to end users with a reasonably good safety record which is mainly due to appropriate design process, material selection and operating practices (Hyde et al. 2005). One of the most common causes of failures in onshore and offshore structures, oil and gas transmission pipelines is dent. A dent in a pipeline is considered as a permanent plastic deformation of the cross-section of the pipe that causes a local stress and strain concentration and a reduction in the pipe diameter (Cosham and Hapkins, 2004). The depth of the dent is defined as the maximum reduction in the diameter of the pipe in comparison with the original diameter as shown in Figure 1. There are different types of pipeline dents which are defined according to their degrees of impact on the pipeline wall dimensions and geometries (Alexander, 1999, Allouti et al, 2012).



Figure 1: Dimensions of a dented pipe.

Previous research works on dented pipes were mostly concentrated on the experimental and finite element calculations rather than the analytical evaluations. Furthermore, to the knowledge of authors no research work has been presented on the finite element calculation of limit loads of indented pipes considering the effect of ductile fracture models. Cosham et al. (2004) worked on effects of various types of dents including plain dents, dents on welds and dents containing defects on the static and cyclic strength of a pipe. They have shown that a dent reduces the static and cyclic strength of the pipe. The collapse of mild steel pipes with different outside diameter to wall thickness ratios containing plain or gouged dents under the effect of internal pressure and bending moment was studied numerically and experimentally by Blachut et al. (2007, 2008). They also provided details about 40 tests on pipes having dents with longitudinal gouges, with and without internal pressure loading (Blachut et al, 2007). The finite element results have shown that in case of a hemispherical indenter, there was no full contact between the indenter and the pipe (Blachut et al, 2007, 2008). Also, the collapse of a dented stainless steel pipe under the effect of an external pressure loading was investigated experimentally by Park et al. (1996).

Iflefel et al. (2005) postulated that the behavior of dented pipes under pure bending moment is dependent on the position of the dent, i.e. whether the dent is on the compression (closing bending) or tension (opening bending) side of the pipe. It was found that the load bearing capacity of a dented pipe is higher under opening bending rather than closing bending. Hyde et al. (2005, 2007, 2009) investigated on radial and offset indentations produced by long axial indenters in unpressurized and pressurized pipes using finite element analysis, experimental tests and analytical method. Three types of materials namely, 6082-T6 aluminum alloy, X65 SAW steel and an ideal elastic perfectly plastic material were used (Hyde et al, 2005, 2007, 2009). Rosenfeld et al. (1998) calculated the longitudinal membrane strain in the deformed region of a dent based on the measured data. A filtering algorithm was developed to remove the noise and errors from experimental measurements and replace the rough experimental data by means of smooth data defined by a third order, two-dimensional polynomial (Hojjati et al, 2008).

The influence of the dent depth on the burst pressure of a pipeline was evaluated using finite element and experimental methods with simple local strain criterion named as the Oyane's criterion (Allouti et al, 2012). It was found that as the pressure increases, the Oyane's criterion reaches to an asymptotic value that is less than the critical value. In the recent work reported by Baek et al. (2012), a finite element analysis was carried out on the load bearing capacity of API X65 pipe with dent defect under internal pressure and in-plane bending without considering the damage effect. Allouti et al. (2014) worked on A37 steel pipelines containing a gouge and dent defect and investigated the influence of the dent depth on the burst pressure of these pipelines. Also, the impact by dropped objects on an internally pressurized pipeline resting on a flexible bed has been numerically simulated by Zeinoddini et al. (2013) without considering damage effect.

Two approaches are often used in the study of mechanical behavior of engineering materials. Macroscopically, degradation of the material exhibits a decrease of the material stiffness and strength and a reduction of the remaining ductility (Lemaitre, 1992, Xue, 2007). Microscopically, damage is mainly due to void nucleation, growth and coalescence, shear band movement and the propagation of micro-cracks (McClintock, 1968, Rice and Tracey, 1969, Xue, 2007). Hydrostatic tension speeds up both these activities while compressive pressure slows down such action in which the fracture is more often governed by shear failure. Low positive stress triaxialities are combinations of both mechanisms acting on the micro-scale (Xue, 2007, Brünig et al, 2014). Experimental work shows that compressive pressure increases the ductility in many ductile and brittle materials. The damage associated with the void shearing effect was recently introduced to the Gurson-type model so that ductile fracture at low stress triaxiality can be predicted (Xue, 2008, Hutchinson et al, 2008).

Accumulation of damage, initiation of fracture as a result of the accumulation of the ductile damage and the crack propagation are the three phases of ductile failure of structures (Lemaitre, 1985, Xue, 2007). Ductile fracture refers to fractures where materials experience large plastic deformation and exhibit high ductility in the concerned region (Xue, 2007, Xue et al, 2007-2010). For small and moderate plasticity, the damage effect on the matrix strength curve is negligible and the material strength curve may be considered to be equal to that proposed by the classical continuum mechanics. However, in case of large plastic deformations such as pipes under indentation, the damage effect must be considered in prediction of material deterioration for modified stress-strain curve (Xue, 2007, Xue et al, 2007-2010).

Continuous efforts have been made by researchers using various constitutive models on the finite element simulation of ductile fracture problems. The micromechanical model that relies on the global mechanical response of some kind of microscopic representative volume structure such as models proposed by Gurson (1977) and Tvergaard (1981) is one type of such damage model. On a macro scale, current values of components of the stress and strain tensors and their histories control the fracture process. These quantities are readily available as outputs in all commercial nonlinear finite element codes. Therefore, fracture models that make use of these macroscopic quantities are appropriate choices for finite element implementation (Xue et al, 2007-2010).

The line of fracture modeling approach in macroscopic view which is directly linked to the unrecoverable plastic strain (or in its rate form) is often called the cumulative-strain-damage fracture method and is widely used in solving practical problems (Wilkins et al, 1980, Xue, 2007). In cumulative-strain-damage fracture method, the damage is associated with the plastic deformation and always leads to material softening. The damage is defined using an integral of the weighting function with respect to the equivalent plastic strain and further incorporating the damage into the yield condition. When the accumulated damage exceeds a threshold value, the material is considered to fail (Wilkins et al, 1980, Xue, 2007). This is the foundation of the conventional continuum mechanic models (CCM) and a later extension of this line of damage model is the continuum damage mechanic model (CDM).

In CCM models, the microstructure of the material is assumed to remain unchanged and the damage is treated as a function of the accumulated equivalent plastic strain, independent of the material strength such as in Wilkins et al. (1980) and conventional Johnson and Cook (1985). In CDM models material property is defined by the combined effect of the matrix material and the damage to account for the micro defects such as the one proposed by Lemaitre (1985) and Xue and Wierzbicki (X-W) (2007). So, the yielding is a function of the current state of damage in addition to the plastic strain. In some models, a critical value of damage is proposed as a material constant which is to be calibrated, such as in Wilkins et al. (1980) and Lemaitre (1985), while in other models the fracture criteria are normalized with respect to the critical values such as conventional and improved Johnson and Cook (1985, Borvik et al, 2001) and finally in the model proposed by Xue (2007) the fracture occurs when the damage reaches the unity.

By reviewing the research works carried out in the field of ductile fracture models, one can find that the most challenging issue is to offer a better model for prediction of fracture in the corresponding stress state (Wilkins et al, 1980, Lemaitre, 1985, Johnson and Cook, 1985, Xue, 2007, Bai et al, 2010, Mohr et al 2011, Brünig et al, 2014). To achieve this, specific combinations of parameters with different mathematical forms are introduced in each fracture model. In most fracture models, the fracture strain envelope is defined in such way that the influence of stress state on the fracture prediction is satisfied. Generally fracture envelopes are defined by appropriate combination of pressure and Lode angle function (Wilkins et al, 1980, Xue, 2007). Moreover, the pressure effect on the fracture strain can be described by the stress triaxiality ratio such as the ones proposed by Lemaitre (1985), conventional and improved Johnson-Cook (1985, Borvik et al, 2001), modified Mohr–Coulomb model (2010). However, in Wilkins et al (1980), (X-W) (2007, 2010) and Norris et al (1978) the pressure effect is presented by the hydrostatic pressure only. The hydrostatic pressure (the first stress invariant) and the Lode angle parameter (the third deviatoric stress invariant) have significant effects on the shape of the fracture envelop in the principal stress space and are generally defined based on the three principal stresses and three principal deviatoric stresses.

(X-W) ductile fracture model in CDM category is the combination of the appropriate damage model with the classical plasticity theory based on the stress-state-dependent (Xue, 2007, Xue et al, 2007-2010). In the (X-W) proposed damage plasticity model, four essential effects are incorporated in a phenomenological way based on two internal variables, namely the plastic strain and the damage to express this dependence on the stress state in the right manner. These four effects are material deterioration or softening effect, the hydrostatic pressure sensitivity, the Lode angle dependence and the non-linear damage evolution law (Xue, 2007, Xue et al, 2007-2010). Fracture strain is loading path dependent, hence the damage rule is employed to quantify the amount of

damage accumulated along the corresponding loading path (Xue, 2007, Xue et al, 2007-2010). The softening effect becomes one of the most important parameter when a ductile material is subjected to large plastic deformation. As the plastic deformation increases, micro cracks are initiated and propagated, hence the softening effect must be considered using an appropriate weakening function in the ductile fracture model (Xue, 2007, Xue et al, 2007-2010). The Lode angle parameter modifies the cross section of the fracture envelop on the octahedral plane based on the current deviatoric stress state of the material. The hydrostatic pressure parameter is important and in each step of the loading process the shape of fracture envelope is improved by the hydrostatic pressure parameter.

Mohr et al. (2008) presented a new experimental technique that can be used to investigate the large deformation behavior of advanced high strength steel sheets. Later they used this technique and studied experimentally and numerically the stress and strain histories at the point of fracture initiation in steel sheets tensile specimens with central holes and circular notches (Mohr et al, 2010). They showed that both the equivalent plastic strain and the stress triaxiality are very sensitive to uncertainties in experimental measurements and numerical model assumptions. Mohr et al. (2011) used results of these fracture experiments to calibrate and validate shearmodified Gurson model and Modified Mohr–Coulomb model. Bai and Wierzbicki (2010) transferred the classical Mohr–Coulomb (M–C) fracture criterion in to the space of stress triaxiallity, Lode angle and equivalent plastic strain, defining the so-called modified Mohr–Coulomb (MMC) fracture criterion. The MMC model is based on the assumption that the initiation of fracture is determined by a critical stress state. In MMC model, the Lode angle dependence is close to the parabolic form and the number of material constants must be calibrated is high (Mohr et al, 2011).

Recently Brünig et al. (2014) also worked on another stress-state-dependent ductile fracture model. Series of new experiments and corresponding numerical simulations were used to model material behavior by continuum plasticity and continuum damage model based on free energy functions. The model developed by Brünig et al. (2014) is constructed on the basis of three parameters namely, the stress intensity, the stress triaxiality and the Lode parameter. Several threedimensional micro-mechanical numerical analyses are performed for covering a wide range of stress triaxialities and Lode parameters in tension, shear and compression domains (Brünig et al, 2013). Also the effect of stress triaxiality on the inelastic deformation behavior of aluminum alloys for smooth and pre-notched tensile and shear specimens were studied experimentally and numerically by Brünig et al (2011).

A quick review of the available literature in the field of limit load and plastic analysis of indented pipes reveals that the effect of ductile damage on their load bearing capacity is not considered. In this paper, a damage plasticity model proposed by (X-W) is employed to examine significance of the ductile damage effect on the load bearing capacity of indented pipes subjected to internal pressure. The phenomenological and physical understanding of this model has a great potential to be used as an engineering tool for predicting ductile fracture in commercial nonlinear finite element codes. In this study, it is assumed that the maximum load bearing capacity of the pipe occurs at the incipient of the pipe fracture. The (X-W) damage plasticity model incorporates the hydrostatic pressure sensitivity and the Lode angle dependence and falls into the category of CDM theory. In CDM theory, material deterioration is described by an internal variable known as damage. Plastic deformation that contributes to damage is calculated by integrating the damage rate measured at current loading. A power law damage rule is proposed to characterize the nonlinearity in damage accumulation. The damage related weakening factor is adopted to describe the material deterioration. The material and damage parameters are obtained from standard laboratory tests reported in (Xue, 2007) for Aluminum 2024-T351 alloy. The pipe is dented with a rigid, spherical indenter with different diameters i.e. 40, 80 and 160mm. The diameter and wall thickness of the pipe are assumed to be 762 mm and 17.5 mm, respectively. Pipes are assumed to be subjected to an atmospheric pressure as well as 4, 8, and 16MPa internal pressure. The results for finite element simulations with and without damage effect are obtained and compared.

2 DAMAGE PLASTICITY MODEL

2.1 Formulation of X-W Damage Plasticity Model

The results of experiments carried out on the behavior of ductile materials reveals that for better prediction of material behavior along the loading path, an appropriate damage model must be included to the classical plasticity theory (Xue, 2007, Xue et al, 2007-2010). (X-W) ductile fracture model is a combination of the appropriate damage model with the classical plasticity theory. The damage is assumed to be isotropic and quantified by the scalar parameter, D. In order to define the nonlinearity of the damage accumulation, a power law damage rule is supposed. In the principal stress space, the hydrostatic pressure (p), von Mises equivalent stress (σ_{eq}) and the Lode angle parameter ($\theta_{\rm L}$) are defined in terms of σ_1 , σ_2 , σ_3 , i.e. the maximum, intermediate and minimum principal stresses as follow (Xue, 2007, Xue et al, 2007-2010):

$$p = -\frac{1}{3}(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \sigma_3) \tag{1}$$

$$\sigma_{eq} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2)^2 + (\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)^2 + (\sigma_2 - \sigma_3)^2}$$
(2)

$$\theta_{\rm L} = \tan^{-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left(2 \frac{{\bf S}_2 - {\bf S}_3}{{\bf S}_1 - {\bf S}_3} \right) - 1 \right\}$$
(3)

In (X-W) model, ductile damage parameter D is used to define the fracture criterion by setting the inequality $D \ge D_{cr}$, where D_{cr} is the material constant. The fracture criterion is generally expressed in the non dimensional form of $(D/D_{cr}) \ge 1$ (Xue, 2007). It is assumed that D=0, for the intact material and D=1, for the complete loss of load carrying capacity, i.e. fracture occurrence (Xue, 2007, Xue et al, 2007-2010). In general, for an arbitrary plastic loading path, the damage is obtained by the following integral:

$$D = \int_{0}^{\varepsilon_{c}} dD \le 1 \tag{4}$$

where \mathcal{E}_c is the critical strain at which fracture occurs.

2.2 Damage Rule

The power law function of the damage rule of the following form is considered in (X-W) damage plasticity model (Xue, 2007):

$$D = \left(\varepsilon_{eq}^{p} / \varepsilon_{f} \right)^{m} \tag{5}$$

where ε_{eq}^{p} is the accumulated equivalent plastic strain. For a known, ε_{f} , the incremental damage evolution law for numerical implementation can be derived as:

$$dD = m \left(\frac{\varepsilon_{eq}^{p}}{\varepsilon_{f}}\right)^{m-1} \frac{d\varepsilon_{eq}^{p}}{\varepsilon_{f}}$$
(6)

where m is the material parameter, \mathcal{E}_f is the effective fracture strain envelope defined on the stress state in the next sections (Xue, 2007).

2.3 The Softening Effect

When the damage occurs, the material strength is decreased due to the reduction of the effective load carrying area (Xue, 2007, Xue et al, 2007-2010). This effect can be modeled with the material weakening due to the plastic damage that is incorporated as a scalar function to the matrix strength. On the basis of the CDM theory, the constitutive equation of the damaged material can be derived from the modified yield potential function:

$$\varphi = \sigma_{eq} - w(D)\sigma_M \tag{7}$$

We include a particular form of the weakening effect by the weakening factor of $(1-D^{\beta})$, i.e.

$$\sigma_{eq} = (1 - D^{\beta})\sigma_{M} \tag{8}$$

where σ_M is the matrix strength and β is the weakening factor that is assumed to be a non-negative constant (Xue, 2007).

2.4 Cylindrical decomposition of damage

In the cylindrical decomposition method, the pressure sensitivity and the Lode angle dependence of the fracture strains are included by the pressure dependence function $\mu_p(p)$ and the Lode angle dependence function, $\mu_{\theta}(\theta)$, respectively (Xue, 2007, Xue et al, 2007-2010). It is supposed that the pressure sensitivity and the Lode angle dependency on the fracture strain are independent of each other and the fracture strain envelope obtained by multiplication of the pressure sensitivity and the Lode dependency function is assumed to take the following form:

$$\varepsilon_f = \varepsilon_{f0} \mu_p(p) \mu_{\theta}(\theta) \tag{9}$$

where \mathcal{E}_{f0} is the reference fracture strain in the uniaxial tension state without confining pressure.

The uniaxial tension tests under confining pressure for different alloys were carried out by Bridgman. The relation between the fracture strain and the confining pressure were presented in his work (Bridgman, 1952). The pressure dependence function for the (X-W) damage plasticity model is the result of the Bridgman uniaxial tension tests under pressure loading. In the present study, a logarithmic form of the pressure dependence function is defined as follow (Xue, 2007):

$$\mu_{p}(p) = \begin{cases} (1 - q \ln(1 - p / p_{Lim})), & p \ge p_{\lim}[1 - \exp(1 / q)] \\ 0 & p < p_{\lim}[1 - \exp(1 / q)] \end{cases}$$
(10)

The Lode angle dependence function in (X-W) model is a result of its definition in Wilikins et al. model (Wilkins et al, 1980, Xue, 2007). The stress asymmetry A in the Lode angle function of Wilkins et al. (1980) model is related to the ratio of the principal deviatoric stresses as follow:

$$A = \begin{cases} \frac{1-2\chi}{1+\chi} & 0 \le \chi \le 0.5 \\ \frac{2\chi-1}{2-\chi} & 0.5 \le \chi \le 1 \end{cases}$$
(11)

In which \mathcal{X} is the relative ratio of the principal deviatoric stresses defined as:

$$\mathcal{X} = \frac{S_2 - S_3}{S_1 - S_3} \tag{12}$$

Finally the first kind of the Lode angle dependence function in (X-W) model is defined as (Xue, 2007):

$$\mu_{\theta}(\theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{\mathcal{X}^2 - \mathcal{X} + 1}}{1 + \left(\sqrt{3}/\gamma - 2\right)\mathcal{X}} & 0 \le \mathcal{X} \le 0.5 \\ \frac{\sqrt{\mathcal{X}^2 - \mathcal{X} + 1}}{1 + \left(\sqrt{3}/\gamma - 2\right)(1 - \mathcal{X})} & 0.5 \le \mathcal{X} \le 1 \end{cases}$$

$$(13)$$

where q, p_{Lim} and γ are material constants and p is the current hydrostatic pressure. The fracture envelope in the space of plastic strain and the mean stress is demonstrated in Figure 2. The solid line shows the pressure dependence of the material and the dash line depicts the Lode angle dependence. The fracture strain is a decaying function with respect to the mean stress. It could be shown from Equation (10) that the fracture strain approaches to zero when the hydrostatic pressure reaches the cut-off pressure:

$$p_{cut-off} = p_{\lim} (1 - \exp^{1/q}) \tag{14}$$

where p_{lim} is the limiting pressure beyond which the material will not fail in the uniaxial tensile condition and $p_{cut-off}$ is the cut-off pressure above which fracture occurs in hydrostatic tension. As shown in Figure 2, the fracture locus is placed between the limiting pressure and the cut-off pressure. On an octahedral plane of cut-off pressure, the fracture locus shrinks to a single point at the triad axis. Moreover, the effect of the hydrostatic pressure and the Lode angle on the shape of the fracture envelop is clearly shown in this figure. As seen, the circular shape of the von Mises yield criterion is improved to a petal like shape by the effect of Lode angle parameter.



Figure 2: Three dimensional damage strain envelope, mean stress - plastic strain space (Xue, 2007).

The material chosen for the finite element investigation is 2024-T351 aluminum alloy. The tensile stress–strain curve for this material is shown in Fig. 3. For the proposed set of constitutive damage plasticity equations, six material parameters are ε_{f0} , q, p_{Lim} , γ , m and β . These parameters are considered as constants for a given material and are to be calibrated with the help of experimental data. Xue and Wierzbicki presented a series of tests at different mean stresses and Lode angles to calibrate these parameters for Aluminum 2024-T351 pipe (Xue, 2007). Damage characteristics for this type of material are shown in Table 1.

Ε	$\sigma_{_y}$	v	\mathcal{E}_{f0}	P_{Lim}	q	γ	т	β	Reference
70GPa	300MPa	0.3	0.8	$800 \mathrm{Mpa}$	1.5	0.4	2	2	Xue(2007)

Table 1: Material parameters for plasticity and damage characteristics of 2024-T351 aluminum alloy.



Figure 3: True Stress–True strain data for the Aluminum 2024-T351 and the Steel API X65 pipe.

3 FINITE ELEMENT MODEL

A numerical procedure based on the finite element method was developed to estimate the ductile damage effect on the load bearing capacity of an Aluminum pipe subjected to internal pressure under indentation by a rigid spherical indenter. It comprises of a nonlinear three dimensional shell type elastic- plastic model developed using the ANSYS suite of program (Ansys, Version 12). In order to be able to accurately model the pipe response to denting, damage constitutive behavior is adopted within the potential flow rule, assuming the von Mises yield criterion with combined isotropic hardening.

3.1 Model Geometry

The finite element model of the complete pipe and the denting tool is made by defining the diameter of the middle surface and the wall thickness (t) of the pipe. The pipe dimensions are presented in Table 2. To simulate the denting tool, a spherical rigid surface was used to create the contact with the pipe external surface. The indenters are assumed to have diameters of 40, 80 and 160 mm. Internal pressures with various values of atmospheric pressure (0 MPa), 4, 8 and 16 MPa are applied to the pipe.

$D_0(mm)$	$D_m(mm)$	t(mm)	<i>t / R</i>	L(mm)
762	744.5	17.5	0.047	2000

Table 2: Pipe dimensions.

Finite element modeling with ductile damage effect is used to predict the load bearing capacity of the pipe under plain unconstrained dent. The pipe was modeled using 4-noded shell elements, namely SHELL181 with six degrees of freedom at each node (three translations and three rotations). The formulation of this element type is well-suited for large strain nonlinear applications. A rigid spherical indenter (TARG170) and contact element (CONTA173) are used to form the dents in the pipe body, where the indenter is displaced by a prescribed distance into the pipe wall and the contact surface element is allocated at the outside surface of the pipe. A surface-tosurface contact condition with a coefficient of friction of 0.3 was allocated between the pipe and the indenter during the denting process. Figure 4 shows the finite element mesh and the analytical surface that simulates the denting tool.

3.2. Denting Method

In this research, the following steps of indentation process and boundary conditions for different values of internal pressure and indenter diameter are performed in FE simulations with and without the ductile damage effect. The following boundary conditions are imposed on the pipe:

- The bottom line of the pipe is fixed in order to prevent rigid body movement during denting.
- The cross section at the end of the pipe is fixed only in the vertical direction to allow axial tensile stress.

The pipe end-cap is not modeled in the simulations in order to reduce the total number of elements in the mesh and to reduce the solution time. For the pressure loading, the inner pipe wall is assumed to be subjected to pressure P and a tensile stress of magnitude $PD_i^2 / (D_o^2 - D_i^2)$ is applied to the end face to simulate end-cap conditions.

The indentation process is simulated using the following steps. The pipe is pressurized to the design pressure (P), boundary conditions are imposed and the indenter is pressed on the pipe. The indenter is adjusted to simulate the contact and the pipe is dented to the required value of the depth by applying a displacement to the reference node of the indenter. The indenter is removed to obtain the residual dent depth with spring back and the design pressure is then released.



Figure 4: Finite element mesh, boundary conditions and the analytical surface of the denting tool.

3.3. Validation of the Finite Element Analysis

The finite element analysis is carried out according to the basic procedures reported by Iflefel et al. (2005) and Baek et al. (2012). Baek et al. worked on finite element analysis of API X65 steel pipes under indentation without considering the damage effect. The stress-strain relation of the material is shown in Figure 3.

Mesh convergence study is carried out on API X65 model to have maximum deformation for internal pressure (P=16MPa) and indenter diameter (d=160mm) to obtain adequate refined finite element sizes. Maximum dent depth is considered to be 152mm (Baek et al, 2012). The model of the shell comprises of 32582 nodes and 40321 elements.

3.4. Numerical Implementation of Damage Evolution Equations

Numerical solution of governing equations for ductile fracture models requires time discretization. In the present study, an explicit integration procedure is used to convert the rate form of equations to an incremental form. The numerical integration scheme in FE simulations for the (X-W) model is shown below. The value of the ductile damage variable is calculated for each element and deformation loading step. This model obeys a damage rule and by considering the softening effect in the fracture model, the damage variable is included in the plasticity flow for each loading step. Plastic deformation that contributes to damage is calculated by integrating the damage rate measured at the current loading step.

The state of the nonlinear local problem with variables $\mathcal{E}_{eq,n}^{p}$, D_{n} and the stress state σ_{n} are assumed to be known at time, t_{n} for all fracture models. An increment in the strain $\Delta \varepsilon$ is applied in

the time interval. The task is to solve for the stress tensor and the internal variables at time $t_{n+1} = t_n + \Delta t$.

$$\begin{split} \text{Given:} & \left\{ \varepsilon_{eq,n}^p, D_n, \sigma_n \right\} \text{and} \ \Delta \varepsilon = \varepsilon_{n+1} - \varepsilon_n \text{ at time} \ t_n \, . \\ \text{Calculate:} & \left\{ \varepsilon_{eq,n+1}^p, D_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1} \right\} \text{ at time} \ t_{n+1} = t_n + \Delta t \, . \end{split}$$

Step 1: For FE simulation of ductile fracture model under study, a small value of the displacement is imposed on the reference node of the rigid indenter as the first loading step so that the elastic deformation occurs in the pipe, hence no damage is included. Equivalent von Mises stress of each element for the present loading step is calculated as the trail stress σ_{n+1}^{trail} . The value of σ_{n+1}^{trail} is substituted into the yield function φ . If $\varphi(\sigma_{n+1}^{trail}, D_n, \sigma_M(\varepsilon_{eq,n}^p)) \leq 0$, the deformation at this stage of loading is still in the elastic range with no damage, hence:

$$\sigma_{n+1} = \sigma_{n+1}^{trail}$$

$$\varepsilon_{eq,n+1}^{p} = \varepsilon_{eq,n}^{p} = 0$$

$$D_{n+1} = D_{n} = 0$$

$$(15)$$

If $\varphi > 0$, the deformation is in the elastic-plastic state. For these elements a plastic correction step is used to enforce the consistency condition.

Step 2: For each element undergoing the elastic-plastic deformation, the incremental equivalent plastic strain $\Delta \varepsilon_{eq}^{p}$ is first calculated. Two internal variables ε_{eq}^{p} and D are to be updated for the next loading step.

In (X-W) model, the softening effect and the subsequent weakening factor is considered in the FE simulation. For each loading step the damage variable and accumulated equivalent plastic strain and stress is updated. The damage at the time t_{n+1} is found based on the damage rule as defined in Eq. (6):

$$D_{n+1} = D_n + m \left(\frac{\varepsilon_{eq,n}^p}{\varepsilon_{f,n}}\right)^{m-1} \frac{\Delta \varepsilon_{eq}^p}{\varepsilon_{f,n}}$$
(16)

where,

$$\varepsilon_{f,n} = \varepsilon_{f0} \begin{cases} \left[1 - q \ln\left(1 - p_n / p_{Lim}\right) \right] \frac{\sqrt{\mathcal{X}_n^2 - \mathcal{X}_n + 1}}{1 + \left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{\gamma} - 2\right) \mathcal{X}_n} & 0 \le \mathcal{X}_n \le 0.5 \end{cases}$$

$$\left[1 - q \ln\left(1 - p_n / p_{Lim}\right) \right] \frac{\sqrt{\mathcal{X}_n^2 - \mathcal{X}_n + 1}}{1 + \left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{\gamma} - 2\right) (1 - \mathcal{X}_n)} & 0.5 \le \mathcal{X}_n \le 1 \end{cases}$$

$$(17)$$

The update on the equivalent plastic strain is:

$$\varepsilon_{eq,n+1}^{p} = \varepsilon_{eq,n}^{p} + \Delta \varepsilon_{eq}^{p} \tag{18}$$

The stress update at the time t_{n+1} is calculated based on the updated weakening factor:

$$\sigma_{n+1} = w(D_{n+1})\sigma_n = (1 - D_{n+1})^\beta \sigma_n \tag{19}$$

All necessary field variables $\left\{\sigma_{n+1}, \mathcal{E}_{eq,n+1}^{p}, D_{n+1}\right\}$ are updated at the time, $t_{n+1} = t_n + \Delta t$.

Step 3: For the proposed fracture model, the new incremental strain is imposed and step 1 of the algorithm is restarted until the fracture criterion is satisfied for a given element, i.e. the integral over D, reaches the value of one. At this time, the fracture occurs and the calculation is terminated. The load and dent depth relating to this situation is considered as the critical value for the pipe under indentation.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The failure load i.e. the load bearing capacity of the pipe is not directly predicted by ANSYS program since the failure criterion is not included in the material properties. However, it would be possible to find this target load by employing an appropriate failure model. In this paper, we use both plasticity and damage effects to estimate load bearing capacity of the Aluminum pipe under indentation. From finite element analyses of the indented pipes reported in previous works (Iflefel et al, 2005, Baek et al, 2012), one can conclude that pipe subjected to higher value of internal pressure have its maximum strain in the indented zone. In this study, the target dent depth as an indication of load bearing capacity for each combination of indenter diameter and internal pressure is obtained when the value of the non dimensional damage parameter becomes equal to unity.

Before starting the damage analysis of the dented pipe, the relation between the dent depth and maximum von Mises stresses for different values of indenter diameters and initial internal pressures without the damage effect is presented in Figure 5. It is found that when the damage effect is not considered, as the internal pressure increases, the dent depth at the point with the maximum von Mises fracture stress of 670 MPa decreases. In addition, it is found that when the maximum von Mises stress reaches to 670MPa, the dent depth increases as the indenter diameter increases.



Figure 5: Variation of maximum von Mises stress with dent depth without damage effect, P is a parameter: (a) D=40mm, (b) D=80mm, (c) D=160mm.

Figure 6 displays variation of the maximum equivalent total strain versus the dent depth for different values of indenter diameters under various internal pressure loadings without the damage effect. The true fracture strain of Al 2024-T351 under uniaxial tension test according to Figure 3 is almost equal to 0.6. Since the effect of damage is not considered in Figure 6, the value of fracture strain is passed over this strain fracture limit. On the other hand the finite element program without damage effect will continue till deformation in the pipe becomes unstable. This defect can be removed by considering ductile damage effect in the simulations and a better approximation for fracture initiation in the material is found. As depicted in Figure 6, the dent depth at the point with fracture strain value of around 0.6, decreases as the internal pressure increases. Moreover, as the indenter diameter increases, the dent depth corresponding to the fracture strain increases.



Latin American Journal of Solids and Structures 12 (2015) 355-384



(c): d=160mm

Figure 6: Variation of maximum equivalent total strain with dent depth without damage effect, P is a parameter: (a) D=40mm, (b) D=80mm, (c) D=160mm.

It is observed from Equation (8) that when the damage effect is considered, material strength is decreased by introducing weakening function $(1-D^{\beta})$ to the matrix strength for all indenter diameters and internal pressures. Certainly this reducing effect on the strength of material leads to an increase in the maximum total strain for all similar loading cases. It is shown in Equation (6) that the value of the ductile damage parameter is directly depended on the amount of accumulated plastic strain. The distribution function and final values of equivalent strain and stress at each dent depth depend on the value of the ductile damage parameter, the pipe under the effect of higher internal pressure loading i.e. 16 MPa, reaches to the critical value of ductile damage parameter at a higher rate and experiences the lowest value of the target dent depth.

Values of the ductile damage variable for different indenter diameters and internal pressures are obtained by finite element analysis and shown in Table 3. For each indenter diameter, the lowest target dent depth is found to be for the pipe with maximum internal pressure, i.e. 16 MPa. It is also found from Table 3 that as the diameter of the indenter increases, the target dent depth corresponding to the same internal pressure increases. Moreover as the diameter of the indenter decreases, the value of the target dent depth for all internal pressure decreases. The main reason may be due to the stress concentration effect. A high stress concentration is created during the process of generating a dent by an indenter with a smaller diameter. Hence, the accumulated plastic strain and the resulting damage parameters are increased.

Indenter diameter(mm)	Internal pressure during denting	Target dent depth(mm)	Damage variable(D)
40	16	47	1
40	8	57	1
40	4	66	1
40	0	85	1
80	16	51	1
80	8	61.5	1
80	4	75.5	1
80	0	108.8	1
160	16	55.5	1
160	8	66	1
160	4	81	1
160	0	118	1

Table 3: Variation of target dent depth with indenter diameter for different internal pressure loadings.

The relation between the dent depth and the ductile damage variable for different indenter diameters and initial internal pressure is illustrated in Figure 7 for highly effected element with critical value of the ductile damage parameter, i.e D = 1. By considering other elements in the contact zone it may be concluded that the ductile damage variable for these elements is less than the critical value. It is shown later in Figures 9 and 10 that the highly effected element for the indenter diameter of 40mm is different from that of the indenter diameters of 80 and 160mm. This is due to different shapes of contact zones between the pipe and the indenter at each dent depth. It is found that for each indenter diameter, the distribution of the damage variable for a long dent depth is different. For dent depths slightly less than target values, it is observed that the pipe subjected to lower value of internal pressure has higher value of accumulated plastic strain and damage parameter. It is also found that at higher values of internal pressure i.e. at 16 MPa., the value of plastic strain at initial stages of indentation loading is lower, however as the loading increases and reaches to a certain value, the plastic strain increases at a very high rate and the damage variable sharply approaches to one. It is also found that as the diameter of indenter is increased, the value of target dent depth for different internal pressure is increased.



Figure 7: Ductile damage variable versus dent depth with the internal pressure as a parameter for indenter diameter of: (a) d=40mm, (b) d=80mm, (c) d=160mm.

The relation between the dent depth and denting force for initial internal pressures equal to 8 and 16 MPa and various indenter diameters with and without damage effect are shown in Figure 8. Residual dent depths were obtained from the loading-unloading curves to evaluate the spring back behavior. The force employed to obtain the predetermined dent depth considering the damage effect is found to be lower than that obtained without the damage effect. In both simulations, as the indenter diameter and the initial internal pressure increases, the value of applied force increases. The slope of the force versus the dent depth slightly increases as the dent depth and internal pressure increases. When the damage effect is considered, the amount of plastic deformation and accordingly the residual dent depth is increased in comparison with the case without damage effect.



Figure 8: Comparison of force versus dent depth response with and without damage effect for various indenter diameters and internal pressures of: (a) 8 MPa, and (b) 16 MPa.

Table 4 presents the failure force, stress and equivalent plastic strain corresponding to the element with the highest value of ductile damage parameter at the vicinity of target dent depth.

As predicted before, for each combination of internal pressure and indenter diameter, the von Mises stress is found to be lower and the equivalent plastic strain to be higher than the case without the damage effect. At the final stage of loading, the value of stress falls rapidly to zero for small value of loading increment (i.e., $\Delta \approx 5 mm$) and the corresponding ductile damage parameter reaches to one, i.e. D=1. Due to this reason we are not able to present its variation exactly at the target dent depth and a point very close to the target dent depth is chosen. These values are compared with those corresponding to the same element without the damage effect. It is found that the failure force increases linearly with increasing indenter diameters regardless of the internal pressure.

Indenter	Initial internal pressure (MPa)	Simulation with damage effect				Simulation without damage effect		
diameter (mm)		Max force (KN)	von Mises stress (MPa)	Equivalent plastic strain	Ductile damage variable (D)	Max force (KN)	von Mises stress (MPa)	Equivalent plastic strain
40	16	735.8	81.29	0.2985	0.93	798.6	598.5	0.1951
40	8	703.6	101.2	0.3156	0.89	761.7	593.1	0.1859
40	4	659.4	121.4	0.3312	0.87	728.5	589.9	0.1754
40	0	501.13	77.67	0.4002	0.95	675.2	582.9	0.15996
80	16	861.6	189.9	0.3666	0.87	965.9	631.1	0.2835
80	8	809.6	203.31	0.4046	0.84	879.4	641.3	0.3242
80	4	782.8	88.21	0.4696	0.93	883.5	642.1	0.3299
80	0	801.1	191.1	0.3863	0.88	896.8	639.1	0.3137
160	16	1144.2	83.64	0.3275	0.94	1196.4	614.9	0.2365
160	8	1008.7	68.81	0.3657	0.95	1051.6	626.6	0.2696
160	4	1010.2	182.02	0.3605	0.85	1031.1	630.8	0.2827
160	0	1012.2	162.4	0.3735	0.87	1025.8	632.1	0.2875

Table 4: Failure force, stress and equivalent plastic strain for element

with maximum ductile damage parameter in vicinity of target dent depth.

Figure 9 displays the relation between the dent depth and von Mises stresses for different values of the indenter diameters and initial internal pressures for element with maximum ductile damage parameter. The final value of von Mises stress at each dent depth depends on the corresponding value of the ductile damage parameter. According to Table 4, the estimated fracture points are marked with black arrow for all indenter diameters and internal pressures. For these cases, once the target dent depth becomes higher, the von Mises stress falls to zero and the load capacity is completely lost. For indenter diameter of 80 and 160mm, at initial values of the dent depth, the element under study has contact with the indenter, however as the dent depth increases, the contact between the element and the indenter is reduced and stress relaxation occurs. Hence, as shown in Figures 9.b and c, at some dent depths the von Mises stress is decreased and then at later stages increased until some points close to the target dent depth at which it again decreases and finally falls to zero. For indenter diameters of 80 and 160mm the conditions is more obvious in the pipe without internal pressure, i.e. P=0MPa, than other internal pressure. It is expected that in the dent depths at which the stress relaxation occurs the equivalent plastic strain remains constant. However, for indenter diameter of 40mm, the highly effected element always remains in contact with the indenter and stress relaxation does not occur.





Figure 9: Comparison of von Mises stress versus dent depth in element with maximum ductile damage parameter, for different internal pressures: (a) D=40mm, (b) D=80mm, (c) D=160mm.

Moreover, Figure 10 displays the equivalent plastic strain versus dent depths for indenters with different diameters under various internal pressure loadings for the element with maximum ductile damage parameter. According to Figure 10, the distribution of equivalent plastic strain at each dent depth depends on the corresponding value of the ductile damage parameter. As stated in the previous paragraph regarding Figure 9, it can be concluded that due to the stress relaxation caused by loss of full contact between the spherical indenter with diameters 80 and 160mm and the pipe during the denting process, values of equivalent plastic strains remain constant in Figures 10.b and c. It is also observed that at later stages, the contact between the concerned element and the indenter is again made and the equivalent plastic strain is then increased. For these indenter diameters, at initial stages the pipe with higher value of internal pressure has the lowest value of equivalent plastic strain. However, for indenter diameter of 40mm the equivalent plastic strain always increases rapidly and aforementioned case does not occur.



Latin American Journal of Solids and Structures 12 (2015) 355-384



(c): d=160mm

Figure 10: Comparison of equivalent plastic strain versus dent depth in element with maximum ductile damage parameter, for different internal pressures: (a) D=40mm, (b) D=80mm, (c) D=160mm.

To illustrate the effect of damage on the process of pipe denting, variations of equivalent plastic strain and Mises stress in the axial direction of the pipe for an arbitrary internal pressure i.e. of 16 MPa, indenter diameter of 160mm that has the target dent depth of 57mm with and without the damage effect are shown in Figure 11. It is found that around the middle length of the pipe at which the contact occurs, the effect of damage is more obvious. In these points, the equivalent plastic increases and Mises stress decreases until it falls to zero when the ductile damage parameter approaches to one.



Figure 11: Variation of the equivalent plastic strain and Mises stress in the axial direction of the pipe, P=16MPa, d=160mm with and without damage effect: (a) equivalent plastic strain (b) Mises stress

The springing back behavior of the dented pipe with variations in the indenter size and initial internal pressure, with and without damage effect is shown in Table 5. The process of introducing a dent into a pipe involves both elastic and plastic deformation. The dent depth is changed from the predetermined depth to a residual dent depth when the indenter is removed. The depth of a dent in a pipe changes as the internal pressure changes. The response of a dent depends on its prior loading history. The spring back ratio is calculated from the following formula:

spring back (%) =
$$\frac{\text{target dent depth} - \text{residual dent depth}}{\text{target dent depth}} \times 100$$

The spring back behavior of the dented pipe is related to the pipe geometry, material properties and pressure loading condition (Cosham and Hapkins, 2004). Now considering the results of this paper, i.e. Table 5, one can say that the damage effect is influenced by spring back ratio. This is mainly due to the fact that the damage effect contributes to higher values of plastic deformation which is proportional to the damage parameter. Hence, in this case the residual dent depth increases and the spring back ratio decreases in comparison with the case where the damage effect is not considered. It is also found that the denting introduced to a pressurized pipe shows higher value of springing back in comparison with dents on an unpressurised pipe. The springing back is found to be strongly affected by the internal pressure as shown in Table 5.

Indenter diame-	Internal pressure	Target dent	with damage effect	without damage effect
ter(mm)	during denting	depth(mm)	Spring back (%)	Spring back $(\%)$
40	40 16		41.7	45.18
40	8	57	32.52	35.36
40	4	66	28.71	32
40	0	85	19.22	26.63
80	16	51	45	48.48
80	8	61.5	33.18	36.55
80	4	75.5	27.53	31.65
80	0	108.8	20.60	23.44
160	16	55.5	54.62	56.51
160	8	66	38.62	39.56
160	4	81	31.97	33.01
160	0	118	22.17	22.74

Table 5: Spring back effect for different indenter diameters, dent depths and initial internal pressures, with and without damage effect.

5. CONCLUSIONS

The ductile damage behavior of the Aluminum 2024-T351 alloy pipe with a dent defect on the pipe body is investigated using finite element analysis. In the elastic-plastic finite element analysis the (X-W) damage plasticity model is introduced to obtain variations of the load bearing capacity and failure depth for several indenter sizes and internal pressures. The proposed damage plasticity model includes material deterioration, pressure sensitivity, Lode angle dependence and nonlinear damage evolution law. The numerical implementation of damage evolution equation is simple with a good physical understanding.

Ductile damage parameter is obtained from the accumulation of plastic strains in dented zone and is affected by the internal pressure and indenter diameter. The equivalent strain and von Mises stress distribution varies proportional to the ductile damage parameter along the dent depth. However, for dent depths in the range of the pipe thickness, ductile damage values are small and the damage effect can be ignored in simulations. As the diameter of the indenter decreases, the value of the damage parameter at the same dent depth for all internal pressure increases which could be due to stress concentration in the contact zone. For dent depths slightly less than target values, lower values of internal pressure correspond to higher values of damage parameter, irrespective of the indenter diameter. However, in later stages as loading increases, the plastic strain in the vicinity of target dent depth increases rapidly and damage variable reaches to one. Due to no full contact between the indenter and the pipe, the highly affected elements in the pipe for indenter diameters of 80 and 160mm are different from those with indenter diameter of 40mm. It is also found that the damage effect decreases the Mises stress and increases the equivalent plastic strain in highly affected points in the pipe. Moreover, it is found that the damage has a reducing effect on the spring back ratio of the dented zone of the pipe.

It can be concluded that the proposed finite element analysis model with damage effect successfully handles the elastic-plastic indentation problem of a pipe loaded by rigid spherical indenter and can be extended to a combined loading case of internal pressure and in-plane bending.

References

Alexander, C. R. (1999), Review of experimental and analytical investigations of dented pipelines. Houston. Texas: Stress Engineering Services, Inc.

Allouti, M. Schmitt, C. Pluvinage, G. Gilgert, J. Hariri, S. (2012), Study of the influence of dent depth on the critical pressure of pipeline. Engineering Failure Analysis. 21, 40-51.

Allouti. M., Schmitt. C., Pluvinage. G. (2014), Assessment of a gouge and dent defect in a pipeline by a combined criterion, Engineering Failure Analysis, 36, 1–13.

Ansys engineering analysis system: ver. 12. ANSYS, Inc.

Baek, J. Kim, Y. Kim, W. Koo, J. Seok, Ch. (2012), Load bearing capacity of API X65 pipe with dent defect under internal pressure and in-plane bending. Materials Science and Engineering A. 540, 70-82.

Bai, Y. Wierzbicki, T. (2010), Application of extended Mohr–Coulomb criterion to ductile fracture. Int. J. Fract. 161, 1–20.

Blachut, J. Iflefel, I.B. (2007), Collapse of pipes with plain or gouged dents by bending moment. International Journal of Pressure Vessels and Piping. 84, 560–571

Blachut, J. Iflefel, I.B. (2007), Analysis of plain and gouged dents is steel pipes subjected to pressure and moment loading, Proceedings of the PVP2006-ICPVT-11 conference on 'design and analysis, New York: ASME, 3, 1–9.

Blachut, J. Iflefel, I.B. (2008), Experimental and Numerical Investigation of Plain and Gouged Dents in Steel Pipes Subjected to Pressure and Moment Loading, ASME MAY, 130.

Borvik, T. Hopperstad, O. S. Berstad, T. Langseth, M. (2001), A computational model of viscoplasticity and ductile damage for impact and penetration. European journal of mechanics. A. Solids. 20, 685–712.

Bridgman, P. W. (1952), Studies in large plastic flow and fracture. McGraw-Hill Inc.

Brünig, M, Albrecht, D, Gerke, S, 2011, Numerical analysis of stress-triaxiality-dependent inelastic deformation behavior of aluminum alloys. International Journal of Damage Mechanics. 20, 299-317.

Brünig, M. Gerke, S. Hagenbrock, V. 2013, Micro-mechanical studies on the effect of stress triaxiality and the Lode parameter on ductile damage. International Journal of Plasticity. 50, 49-65.

Brünig, M. Gerke, S. Brenner, D. (2014), New 2D-Experiments and Numerical Simulations on Stress-State-Dependence of Ductile Damage and Failure. Procedia Materials Science. 3, 177 – 182.

Cosham, A. Hopkins, P. (2004), The effect of dents in pipelines-guidance in the pipeline defect assessment manual. International Journal of Pressure Vessels and Piping. 81, 127–139.

Dunand, D. Mohr, D. (2011), On the predictive capabilities of the shear modified Gurson and the modified Mohr– Coulomb fracture models over a wide range of stress triaxialities and Lode angles. Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids. 59,1374-1393.

Gurson, A. L. (1977), Continuum theory of ductile rupture by void nucleation and growth: Part I. Yield critetria and flow rules for porous ductile media. Journal of Engineering Materials and Technology - Trans. of the ASME, 99, 2–15.

Hojjati, M. H. Lukasiewicz, S.A. (2008), Filtering algorithm for radial displacement measurements of a dented pipe, International Journal of Pressure Vessels and Piping, 85, 344–349.

Hyde, T.H. Luo, R. Becker, A.A. (2005), Elastic–plastic response of unpressurised pipes subjected to axially-long radial indentation. International Journal of Mechanical Sciences. 47, 1949–1971.

Hyde, T.H. Luo, R. Becker, A.A. (2005b), Prediction of force-deflection behavior of pressurized pipes subjected to axially long radial indentation. International Journal of Pressure Vessels and Piping. 82, 625–637.

Hyde, T.H. Luo, R. Becker, A.A. (2007), Elastic-plastic analysis of offset indentations on unpressurised pipes. International Journal of Solids and Structures. 44, 399–418.

Hyde, T.H. Luo, R. Becker, A.A. (2009), Analysis of stresses in pipes indented by long external indentations and subsequent stress variations due to pressure fluctuations, International Journal of Pressure Vessels and Piping, 86,428–434.

Iflefel, I.B. Moffat, D.G. Mistry, J. (2005), The interaction of pressure and bending on a dented pipe. International Journal of Pressure Vessels and Piping. 82, 761–769.

Johnson, G.R. Cook, W.H. (1985), Fracture characteristics of three metals subjected to various strains, strain rates, temperatures and pressures. Engineering Fracture Mechanics. 21, 31–48.

Lemaitre, J. (1985), A continuous damage mechanics model for ductile fracture. Journal of Engineering Materials and Technology - Trans. of the ASME. 107, 83–89.

Lemaitre, J. (1992), A Course on Damage Mechanics. Springer, Berlin, New York.

Mohr, D. Oswald, M. (2008), A new experimental technique for the multi-axial testing of advanced high strength steels. Exp. Mech. 48, 65–77.

Mohr, D. Dunand, M. Kim, K.H. (2010), Evaluation of associated and non-associated quadratic plasticity models for advanced high strength steel sheets under multi-axial loading. Int. J. Plast. 26, 939–956.

Nahshon, K. Hutchinson, J. W. (2008), Modification of the Gurson model for shear failure. Euro J Mech A: Solid.27, 1–17.

Norris, D. M. Reaugh, J. E. Moran, B. Quinnones, D. F. (1978), A plastic-strain mean-stress criterion for ductile fracture. Journal of Engineering Materials. 100, 279–286.

Park, T. D. Kiryakids, S. (1996), on the collapse of the dented cylinders on the external pressure. International of mechanical science. 38, 557-578.

Rosenfeld, M. J. Porter, P. C. Cox, J. A. (1998), Strain estimation using VETCO deformation tool, Data international pipeline conference. ASME. 1.

Tvergaard, V. (1981), Influence of voids on shear band instabilities under plane strain conditions. International Journal of Fracture. 17, 389–407.

Wilkins, M.L. Streit, R.D. Reaugh, J.E. (1980), Cumulative-strain-damage model of ductile fracture: Simulation and prediction of engineering fracture tests. Technical Report UCRL- 53058, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory.

Xue, L. (2007), Ductile Fracture Modeling - Theory, Experimental Investigation and Numerical Verification, PHD thesis, Massachusetts institute of technology.

Xue, L. (2007), Damage accumulation and fracture initiation in uncracked ductile solids subject to triaxial loading, International Journal of Solids and Structures. 44, 5163–5181.

Xue L. (2008), Constitutive modeling of void shearing effect in ductile fracture of porous materials. Engng Fract Mech.75, 3343–66.

Xue, L. (2009), Stress based fracture envelope for damage plastic solids, Engineering Fracture Mechanics. 76, 419–438.

Xue, L. Belytschko, T. (2010), Fast methods for determining instabilities of elastic–plastic damage models through closed-form expressions, Int. J. Numer. Meth, Engng. 84, 1490–1518.

Xue, L. Huang, H. (2009), Prediction of slant ductile fracture using damage plasticity theory, International Journal of Pressure Vessels and Piping. 86, 319–328.

Xue, L. Wierzbicki, T. (2008), Ductile fracture initiation and propagation modeling using damage plasticity theory, Engineering Fracture Mechanics. 75, 3276–3293.

Xue, L. Wierzbicki, T. (2009), Ductile fracture characterization of aluminum alloy 2024-T351 using damage plasticity theory, International Journal of Applied Mechanics. 2, 267–304.

Xue, L. Wierzbicki, T. (2009), Numerical simulation of fracture mode transition in ductile plates, International Journal of Solids and Structures. 46, 1423–1435.

Zeinoddini. M., Arabzadeh. H., Ezzati M., Parke. G.A.R. (2013), Response of submarine pipelines to impacts from dropped objects: Bed flexibility effects, International Journal of Impact Engineering, 62, 129-141.

Nomenclature

Letters

Α	Stress asymmetry in Wilkins et al. model
d	Indenter diameter
D	Ductile damage
D_{cr}	Material constant
D_o	Outer diameter of pipe
L	length of pipe
m	Damage exponent in Xue-Wierzbicki model
n	Strain hardening exponent
р	Hydrostatic pressure
Р	Internal pressure of pipe
$p_{cut-off}$	Cut-off pressure above which fracture occurs in hydrostatic tension in Xue-Wierzbicki model
$p_{ m lim}$	Limiting pressure below which no damage occurs in Xue-Wierzbicki model
q	Exponent in pressure dependence function in Xue-Wierzbicki model
S _{1,2,3}	Maximum, intermediate and minimum principal deviatoric stress components
t	Wall thickness of pipe

Greek letters

β	Exponent in weakening function in Xue-Wierzbicki model
γ	Ratio of fracture strains at $\chi = 0.5$ and at $\chi = 0$ in Xue-Wierzbicki model
$\mu_p(p)$	Pressure dependence function in Xue-Wierzbicki model
$\mu_{\! heta}(heta)$	Lode angle dependence function in Xue-Wierzbicki model
\mathcal{E}_{c}	Critical strain to failure (up limit of integral)
${\cal E}_{eq}^{p}$	Equivalent plastic strain
\mathcal{E}_{f}	Equivalent fracture strain

\mathcal{E}_{f0}	Reference equivalent fracture strain in Xue-Wierzbicki model
$\sigma_{_{eq}}$	Von Mises equivalent stress
$\sigma_{_m}$	Mean stress
$\sigma_{_M}$	Matrix strength
$\sigma_{\!_{1,2,3}}$	Maximum, intermediate and minimum principal stress components
X	relative ratio of the principal stress deviators in Xue-Wierzbicki model